

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/632,584	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter Szekely	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Peter Szekely.

 (2) Michelle Bugbee.
**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2005
**Time:** 11:30 a.m.
**Type of Interview:**


- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*None.*
**Claims discussed:**
*Claims 6, 7, 10, 20, 21 and 23*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*None.*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The abbreviation "PTFE" will be replaced by --tetrafluoroethylene-- in claims 6, 10, 20 and 23, while the phrase "anti-drip" will be replaced by the phrase --oxy acid-- in claims 7 and 21.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)